UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,794	12/26/2001	Jeff Scott Eder	5962	
53787 7590 11/14/2007 ASSET TRUST, INC. 2020 MALTBY ROAD SUITE 7362 BOTHELL, WA 98021			EXAMINER	
			WEISBERGER, RICHARD C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·	•	·	3693	
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A II AI AI	A			
Office Action Summers		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/025,794	EDER, JEFF SCOTT			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Richard C. Weisberger	3693			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. 8 133)			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is			
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>35-68</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
_	Claim(s) <u>35-68</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		- - - - - - -			
-,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)			
_	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5. § 115(a)	-(d) 01 (1).			
/ -	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(c)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application			
i-ape	Tropy in Date	o) [_] Other				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or

any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this

title.

Claims 48-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-

statutory subject matter.

Caims 48-68 directed to software and lack any structural components.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 35-68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing

to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

They newly drafted claims in is indefinite in scope. The limitations of obtaining a model that

identifies value and risk for each of the one or more elements of value, external factors and risks

is vague and indefinite. As just one example, what does it mean to identify risk of an element of

risk? Moreover, the scope of elements of external factors is indefinite in scope. What is the scope

of external factors? External to what? Moreover, what is a external factor of for example, a

plurality if organization related process specifications? What is a risk of a plurality if process

feature data?

Application/Control Number: 10/025,794

Art Unit: 3693

Also, the step of identifying an impact of each process feature on one or more expected process

outputs is vague as to which process it is referring to.

Also, the step of mapping the expected process outputs is vague and indefinite as to what

process outputs the limitation is referring to.

Also the computational model lacks antecedent basis.

Also, in the clams the portfolio effect is vague and indefinite. What is the scope of a portfolio

effect?

Claim 36 continues to be of improper Markush format.

In the claims, it is not cleat how mapping the expected process outputs to the matrices

of value and risk is accomplished.

In the claims it is not clear what is being simulated.

In the claims it is not clear how risk is measured and/or determined.

In the claims it is not clear how value is measured or determined.

In the claims "all the different options" is indefinite in scope. How does one determine

the options?

Application/Control Number: 10/025,794

Art Unit: 3693

In the claims "automated learning" is vague and indefinite. Also, how is automated

learning used to develop the value and risk components.

In the claim "operating factor data" is vague and indefinite. What is the scope of

operating factor data?

In the claim it is not clear how one uses simulation data to identify the impact of one or

more process outputs on a matrix.

In the claims it is not clear how a real option segment of value defined the risk matrix.

In claim 45 and elsewhere, the segments of value are of improper Markush format in the

at the elements are not art recognized classes. (e.g., see knowledge, processes, vendor

relationships).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing

date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,794 Page 5

Art Unit: 3693

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard C. Weisberger whose telephone number is 571 272 6753. The examiner can normally be reached from the hours 6:30 AM to 10:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Krammer can be reached on 571 272 6712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Richard C Weisberger Primary Examiner